RESEARCH



Growth characteristics and drought vulnerability of southwest German spruce and pine

Philipp Römer¹ · Edurne Martínez del Castillo¹ · Frederick Reinig¹ · Max C. A. Torbenson^{1,4} · Oliver Konter¹ · Lara Klippel² · Ulf Büntgen^{3,4,5} · Jan Esper^{1,4}

Received: 9 April 2024 / Revised: 31 January 2025 / Accepted: 16 February 2025 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2025

Abstract

Although it is evident that forest management needs to adapt to fast changing climate conditions, there are still many uncertainties about how European tree species will perform under predicted temperature increases and associated hydroclimatic extremes. Here, we present a dendrochronological network of 1934 trees from 46 sites across southwest Germany to assess the growth performance of Norway spruce and Scots pine between 100 and 820 m asl under recent climatic changes. Growth comparisons including basal area increment (BAI) show that spruce (BAI_{avg} = 15.4 cm² a⁻¹) grew twice than pine (BAI_{avg} = 7.3 cm² a⁻¹) between 1952 and 2009 CE. Drought is the dominant driver of spruce growth but shows a transition in seasonality along elevational gradients. Below 550 m asl, spruce is strongly limited by water availability during summer, while stands above 550 m asl become increasingly sensitive to previous-year moisture conditions. Positive correlations with February-March temperatures indicate an increasing importance of late-winter conditions for pine growth, likely related to rapidly rising air temperatures since the late 1980s and seasonal changes in photosynthetic activity. However, despite the benefits of warmer late-winter conditions for pine, significant moisture limitations and drought-induced growth decreases in both conifers underscore the need for more drought-resistant species in southwest Germany.

Keywords Climate signals · Dendroecology · Picea abies · Pinus sylvestris · Temperate forest · Tree-ring width

Introduction

Central European temperate forests are important terrestrial carbon sinks that mitigate global warming (Harris et al. 2021). However, the health and productivity of these forests

Communicated by Martin Ehbrecht.

Philipp Römer phiroeme@uni-mainz.de

- ¹ Department of Geography, Johannes Gutenberg University, 55099 Mainz, Germany
- ² Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD), 63067 Offenbach am Main, Germany
- ³ Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EN, UK
- ⁴ Global Change Research Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno 60300, Czech Republic
- ⁵ Department of Geography, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno 61137, Czech Republic

under future climate change is highly uncertain (Bonan 2008). While rising air temperatures extend the growing season and increase photosynthetic activity in spring and autumn, they also amplify the magnitude and duration of heatwaves and droughts (Masson-Delmotte et al. 2021).

Dendroecological studies offer the opportunity to quantify forest growth at annual resolution and assess its environmental forcing over past decades. Although assessing climate-growth responses in managed forests can be challenging (Esper et al. 2012), tree-ring width (TRW) is a reliable indicator of forest productivity (Xu et al. 2017) and vitality (Dobbertin 2005) that provides valuable insights into multi-decadal growth trends at regional to continental scales. In Europe, forest growth has recently shown divergent trends across tree species and space (Martínez del Castillo et al. 2022; Pretzsch et al. 2023; Kašpar et al. 2024). Most tree species benefit from the rising air temperatures at higher latitudes and altitudes but suffer substantial growth losses at low altitudes and in southern European regions. However, growth trends are less clear in the European lowlands, where precipitation patterns remain ambiguous

(Aalbers et al. 2018) and silviculture recently faces unprecedented challenges (Senf et al. 2020).

Norway spruce (Picea abies [L.] Karst) and Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) are the economically most important coniferous species in Europe, accounting for $\sim 53\%$ of the European-wide growing stock (Korhonen and Stahl 2020). Both conifers were widely cultivated since the 18th century, as they grow fast on various types of soil and ensured high timber yields (Spiecker 2003; Johann et al. 2004). Norway spruce is a shade-tolerant conifer with a shallow root system that prefers nutrient-rich soils and naturally grows in the cold and moist environments of the boreal zone and European mountains (Caudullo et al. 2016). In Europe, large portions of spruce were planted outside their natural distribution range, specifically in warmer and drier regions (Kölling et al. 2009). In these environments, Norway spruce is particularly susceptible to drought (Zang et al. 2014; Altman et al. 2017) and growth is frequently limited by summer precipitation (Lebourgeois 2007; Rybníček et al. 2010; Zang et al. 2011; Esper et al. 2012; van der Maaten-Theunissen et al. 2013; Debel et al. 2021), though effects on forest productivity vary with regional climate and site conditions (Krejza et al. 2021; Treml et al. 2021).

Although Scots pine is more drought resilient than spruce due to a distinct plasticity in xylem anatomical structures and effective stomatal control mechanisms (Irvine et al. 1998; Eilmann et al. 2009), Central European pine growth is also frequently limited by summer water availability (Lévesque et al. 2014; Bose et al. 2020). In recent decades, late-winter temperatures became important for pine growth (Harvey et al. 2020; Janecka et al. 2020; Stolz et al. 2021; Diers et al. 2023, 2024), possibly reflecting a trade-off between enhanced winter and reduced summer photosynthesis. While the underlying physiological processes are not yet well understood, there is extensive evidence for analogous late-winter temperature signals in pine growth at several low-elevation temperate forest sites (Friedrichs et al. 2009; Lebourgeois et al. 2010; Koprowski et al. 2012; Seidling et al. 2012; Nagavciuc et al. 2019).

In the lowlands and low mountain ranges of southwest Germany, the region with the highest national forest density (BMEL 2023), there is a lack of TRW data integrating measurements from multiple sites. This gap limits our ability to assess the regional growth performance of spruce and pine and evaluate whether the climate sensitivities of these species have shifted in response to recent periods of rapid climate change in this region of significant forestry importance. To address this, we introduce a new TRW network for southwest Germany, comprising 46 sites, and aim to answer the following research questions: i) How did southwest German spruce and pine trees thrive during the late 20th and early 21st centuries, and how did growth patterns differ between the two species?

Hypothesis We expect that both species have experienced growth increases over recent decades, with spruce exhibiting higher growth rates than pine.

ii) What are the key climatic drivers of regional spruce and pine growth?

Hypothesis We expect summer drought to be the key climatic driver of growth for both species.

iii) How did climate sensitivities change over recent periods of climate change?

Hypothesis We expect that both species have become increasingly sensitive to drought in response to recent warming.

Materials and methods

Study area and climate

Between 2010 and 2021, a total of 1831 spruce (*Picea abies* [L.] Karst) and 1942 pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) core samples were collected to establish a network of 46 sites in southwest Germany ($49^{\circ}-51^{\circ}N$, $6^{\circ}-9^{\circ}E$, Fig. 1a). The 22 spruce and 24 pine sites are located along altitudinal gradients ranging from 100 m asl in the Upper Rhine Plain to 820 m asl in the Rhenish Massif (Table 1). Scots pine is the dominant conifer in the lowlands (≤ 400 m asl), while Norway spruce prevails at higher elevations. The pine stands are slightly older (Fig. 1b) and predominant in the Palatinate Forest and Upper Rhine Plain on less fertile loamy sands and sandy clays, such as lean cambisols and fluvisols. In contrast, spruce grows mainly in the Rhenish massif on silty loams and clays, such as (podzolic) cambisols, luvisols, regosols, and stagnosols (Richter et al. 2007; Zech et al. 2014).

Within the southwest German TRW network, mean annual air temperatures vary from 10.5 °C at the lowest pine site (109 m asl) to 6.3 °C at the highest spruce site (819 m asl), while annual rainfall totals range from 643 mm to 944 mm. Consequently, the lowlands, especially the Upper Rhine Plain, are more affected by summer drought than the low mountain ranges (Fig. 2a-c). In recent decades (1952–2009 CE), annual maximum air temperatures (T_{max}) and precipitation increased by 0.03 °C a⁻¹ and 1 mm a⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 2d-f). Temperature and precipitation changes, however, differ notably by month. T_{max} increased



Fig. 1 Tree-ring and environmental data. (a) Topographic map showing spruce (circles) and pine (triangles) sites colored according to their mean segment lengths (MSL). (b) Periods covered by tree-ring chronologies and environmental records. Grey bars show the full tree-ring

records, black bars indicate lengths after truncation at $n \ge 10$ series. The common period of all series is highlighted in light yellow (1952–2009 CE)

Table 1 Characteristics of the southwest German tree-ring network

Species	Sites	n series trees	Elevation [m asl]	MSL±1 SE [years]	AGR±1 SE [mm]	ī
Norway spruce	22	1831 948	340-820	81±3	2.35 ± 0.13	0.46
Scots pine	24	1942 986	100-570	115 ± 5	$1.57 {\pm} 0.10$	0.50
					a (a)	· · · · · · ·

MSL: mean segment length ± 1 standard error (SE), AGR: average growth rate [mm] calculated over the first 60 years of tree age (± 1 SE), \bar{r} : mean inter-series correlation of the 10SP chronologies (1952–2009 CE)

notably more in July and August, while June-August precipitation decreased contrary to the opposing trends in the other seasons (Fig. 2g-h). As a result, soil moisture availability increased from fall to spring but decreased in latesummer (Fig. 2i).

Tree-ring width and basal area increment data

At all sampling sites, one or two increment cores were collected at breast height (1.3 m) from dominant and suppressed conifers using a 5-mm increment borer. The network includes 948 spruce and 986 pine trees from managed, mostly even-aged monoculture stands. Sample size per site ranges from 39 to 148 series, with an average of 82 ± 3 (1 SE) series per site (Table S1). If more than one sample was collected, the cores were extracted from opposite stem

directions perpendicular to the slope to avoid compression wood. TRW was measured at an accuracy of 0.01 mm using the high-precision LINTAB 6 device (Rinntech, Germany) and TSAP-Win software (Rinn 2012). Visual and statistical crossdating was performed using skeleton plots (Stokes and Smiley 1996) and the COFECHA software (Holmes 1983).

TRW measurements were averaged (per tree) and converted to basal area increments (BAI; $cm^2 a^{-1}$) using the bai. out function of the R package *dplR* (Bunn 2008) considering:

$$BAI = \pi \left(r_n^2 - r_{n-1}^2 \right) \tag{1}$$

where r_n is the distance from the pith to the outer tree-ring boundary of the actual year, and r_{n-1} is the distance from the pith to the outer ring boundary of the previous year (Fig. S1a). Unlike TRW, BAI accounts for geometrical



Fig. 2 Climate and soil moisture conditions in southwest Germany. Maps of annual (**a**) maximum air temperatures (T_{max}), (**b**) precipitation totals (Prec), and (**c**) soil moisture indices (SMI). Time series of annual (**d**) T_{max} , (**e**) Prec, and (**f**) SMI derived from nearby gridded data. Each dot represents one grid cell. Dashed lines show linear trends from 1952–2009 CE, R² values are the coefficients of determination (with respective p-values). Monthly (**g**) T_{max} , (**h**) Prec, and (**i**) SMI

changes between the subperiods S2 (1981–2009 CE) and S1 (1952–1980 CE). Boxplots show the distribution among grid cells. White bars are the medians, boxes the 25%- and 75%-quartiles, whiskers the min and max values within 1.5x the interquartile range, and dots are outliers. Positive residuals indicate higher values in the recent subperiod S2 compared to subperiod S1

constraints of adding a cross-sectional area to an expanding stem radius. However, as age-related BAI trends may distort comparisons among different tree and stand ages (Weiner and Thomas 2001), we additionally calculated average growth rates (AGR) over the first 60 years of tree age by aligning each TRW series according to its cambial age (Fig. S1b). The arithmetic means of the age-aligned series are referred to as regional curves (Esper et al. 2003). Potential dependencies of BAI and AGR on tree age, elevation, and microclimatic conditions (air temperature, precipitation and soil moisture) were evaluated using linear regression models. As interactions between these microclimatic conditions may themselves play a crucial role in driving tree growth variability, we opted for simple regression models rather than multiple regression models.

Detrending and chronology development

Non-climatic trends were removed from the TRW series by calculating residuals from cubic smoothing splines with a 50% frequency-response cutoff at 10 years (10SP) and from negative exponential curves (EXP; Fig. S1c). Alternative spline detrendings were applied to evaluate standardization effects on high-to-low frequency chronology variance and network covariance (Fig. S2). Prior to each detrending, a data-adaptive power transformation was used to minimize the heteroscedastic structure of the raw TRW data (Cook and Peters 1997). Site chronologies were produced using the ARSTAN software (ARS41d; Cook et al. 2017) by calculating bi-weight robust means of the detrended series. Site chronologies were variance-stabilized (Frank et al. 2007) and truncated at n < 10 series. Inter-series correlations ($\bar{\mathbf{r}}$) were computed over 30-year segments with a 29-year overlap to estimate changes in covariance inherent in the site chronologies (Cook and Kairiukstis 1990). Network covariance was assessed by calculating Pearson correlations between the detrended site chronologies over the 1952-2009 CE common period.

Climate data and calibration

High-resolution temperature and precipitation data $(1 \times 1 \text{ km})$ from the German Weather Service (http://www.dwd.de/cdc; Kaspar et al. 2013) and soil moisture data $(4 \times 4 \text{ km})$ from the German Drought Monitor (https://www.ufz.de/index.php?en=37937; Zink et al. 2016) were used to characterize the conditions at each sampling site. We extracted monthly time series of T_{max}, precipitation and soil moisture index (SMI) from grids closest to each site and calculated seasonal means. The SMI indicates water availability in the top 180 cm of the soil (Zink et al. 2016). April-October was defined as the growing season based on

monthly temperature and daily dendrometer data (Klippel et al. 2017).

Climate-growth relationships were assessed by computing Pearson correlations between the 10SP site chronologies and the environmental variables using the R package *treeclim* (Zang and Biondi 2015). As with TRW, the environmental data were high-pass filtered prior to correlation analyses by calculating residuals from cubic smoothing splines with a 50% frequency cutoff at 10 years. Bootstrap correlations (n=1000) were computed over the 1952–2009 CE period using an 18-month time window ranging from previous-year May to current-year October, and 21-year running correlations were applied to assess the stationarity of climate signals.

Results

Southwest German growth characteristics

The regional curves of the age-aligned TRW series show distinct negative exponential trends that are fairly homogeneous across the 22 spruce and 24 pine sites (Fig. 3a-d). TRW decreases as a function of tree age, though AGRs of spruce are significantly higher than those of pine (1.2–3.5 mm $a^{-1}versus 1.0-2.7$ mm a^{-1} , p < 0.01). The lower AGRs of pine are due to a steeper TRW decline in the first~25 years of cambial age and persistently lower values thereafter. The total number of rings per series varies from five to 154 years among the 1831 individual spruce series ($\bar{x} = 82$ years) and from 16 to 190 years among the 1942 individual pine series ($\bar{x} = 118$ years), indicating a higher average tree age for the pine samples.

The BAI chronologies reveal significant (p < 0.001) growth differences between spruce ($15.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$; mean $\pm 1 \text{ SE}$) and pine ($7.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$) over the 1952– 2009 CE period (Fig. 3e-f). While spruce BAI increased strongly by ~0.17 cm² a⁻¹ throughout the 20th century, the pine BAI rose moderately by ~0.08 cm² a⁻¹ during the first half of the 20th century and remained fairly stable thereafter. Alignment of the BAI series by cambial age outlines notable age trends consisting of growth increases up to ~110 years, followed by a stationary growth plateau and declining phase (only for pine) as the trees become older (Fig. S3). The initial growth acceleration is much stronger in spruce than in pine, explaining the substantial BAI differences between the overall younger spruce and older pine stands.

Despite the observed growth rate differences, the mean BAI chronologies of spruce and pine correlate at r=0.59 from 1952 to 2009 CE, pointing to a common climatic forcing across the network. Covariance is particularly high among the spruce sites ($r_{1952-2009} = 0.66$) compared to pine



Fig. 3 Spruce and pine growth characteristics. Regional curves of the (a) spruce (green) and (b) pine (yellow) sites truncated at <10 series. Black lines are the arithmetic means at $n \ge 5$ sites. Bottom panels show the number of integrated site chronologies. Relationship between segment length and mean tree-ring width (TRW) of all (c) spruce and (d) pine series. Each dot represents one individual series. Basal area

($r_{1952-2009} = 0.46$), and the BAI chronologies synchronize particularly well in years of severe growth decline that coincide with low growing-season SMI values (SMI_{A-O}; Fig. 4a). In the six driest growing seasons (SMI_{A-O} < 0.30), BAI values drop between – 19% and –68% for spruce, and –14% and –61% for pine compared to previous years. The greatest growth losses were recorded in 1976 CE for both species. BAI and SMI series correlate at r=0.85–0.86 after 10–20-year band-pass filtering, indicating the importance of decadal-scale soil moisture fluctuations for conifer growth in southwest Germany (Fig. 4b).

Inter-site BAI differences are weakly explained by tree age, elevation, growing-season T_{max} , precipitation, or soil moisture availability (Fig. 4c-l). While none of the factors is significant for pine, spruce BAI indicates a weak but significant positive dependence (R²=0.19, p<0.05) on growing-season precipitation and the SMI. Highest BAIs (>20



increment (BAI) chronologies of (e) spruce and (f) pine truncated at <10 series. Black lines are the arithmetic means at $n \ge 5$ sites. Numbers between the vertical dashed lines indicate the mean BAI±1 standard error calculated for 1952–2009 CE. Bottom panels show the number of integrated site chronologies

cm² a⁻¹) are found in young (MSL<90 years) and moist (SMI_{A-O} > 0.52) spruce stands. AGRs show no significant association with growing-season precipitation or the SMI but a significant (p<0.01) dependence on MSL, explaining 40% of the variance among the spruce sites (Fig. S4). No significant relationship was found between the stand-specific AGR and MSL of pine.

Network covariance

The detrended TRW chronologies share high fractions of common variance and synchronize well in negative pointer years such as 1976 CE (Fig. 5a). Covariance among spruce sites is slightly higher (mean $r_{1952-2009} = 0.66$) than among pine sites (mean $r_{1952-2009} = 0.62$), considering the 10SP series, and declines with increasing inter-site distance (Fig. 5b-c). The regional spruce and pine chronologies



Fig. 4 Growth response to soil moisture variability. (**a**) Basal area increment (BAI) of spruce (green) and pine (yellow) from 1952–2009 CE. Thick curves are the average BAI of all spruce and pine sites, respectively. Colored shadings indicate ± 1 standard deviation. Red numbers and grey shadings label the ten driest growing seasons (1=lowest SMI). (**b**) Band-pass filtered (10–20 years) z-scores of the growing-season SMI (black) shown together with spruce (green) and pine (yellow) BAI. (**c-d**) Average BAI (1952–2009 CE) of spruce (top

correlate at r_{10SP} =0.63 and r_{EXP} =0.71 from 1952 to 2009 CE, suggesting that the TRW variability across the network is synchronized by environmental (climatic) forcing and that this forcing is also relevant at decadal scale, as reflected in the EXP data. Striking inconsistencies among the site chronologies are rare and confined to single years, such as 1978 CE, when two pine 10SP chronologies deviate negatively. \overline{R}

panels) and pine (bottom panels) as a function of mean segment length (MSL), (e-f) elevation, (g-h) maximum air temperature (T_{max}), (i-j) precipitation, and (k-l) SMI. The latter three variables are calculated for the growing season (April-October). Each dot represents one site. Dashed lines show linear trends. Colored areas are the 95% confidence intervals of the regression slopes. Asterisks behind R² indicate significance at p < 0.05

values of the 10SP chronologies fluctuate around a mean of 0.46 (pine) and 0.42 (spruce), and are relatively stable from 1952 to 2009 CE. \overline{R} values of the EXP chronologies are generally lower and show a downward trend toward the present.

Higher inter-series and inter-site correlations in the 10SP data indicate strong covariance and external forcing of high-frequency network growth variability. The



Fig. 5 Detrended tree-ring width (TRW) chronologies. (a) Spruce (green) and pine (yellow) site chronologies after detrending using 10-year smoothing splines (10SP, top panel) and negative exponential curves (EXP, middle panel), shown together with their 30-year running inter-series correlations (\bar{r} , bottom panel). Thick curves (top and

varying retained frequencies are visible in the TRW site chronologies (Fig. 5a) and expressed in first-order autocorrelations decreasing from $AC1_{avg}=0.61$ in the EXP data to $AC1_{avg}=0.07$ in the 10SP data, demonstrating the removal of decadal to multi-decadal variability from the latter. AC1 values do not differ significantly between the two species according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p > 0.05), neither in the raw nor in the detrended data.

Network climate sensitivity

Climate conditions in early spring and mid-summer are most important for southwest German conifer growth

middle panel) are the regional mean chronologies. (**b-c**) Correlations between the 10SP spruce and pine chronologies as a function of distance. Dashed lines indicate third-order polynomial trends of all possible spruce (n=231) and pine (n=276) pairings

(Fig. 6a). For spruce, highest correlations are found with July-September T_{max} of the previous year (pJAS) ranging from r = -0.15 to r = -0.55 between the sites (1952–2009 CE). Twenty of the 22 spruce stands show significant (p < 0.05) negative correlations with pJAS T_{max} , and the regional spruce chronology correlates at $r_{1952-2009} = -0.47$ (p < 0.001). In contrast, only seven of the 24 pine stands are significantly correlated with pJAS T_{max} (p < 0.05), of which five stands are located at the southern border of the tree-ring network in the Palatinate Forest (49–49.5°N/ 8–8.25°E). The species-specific differences in pJAS- T_{max} correlations are significant at p < 0.001 and are related to a fading

Fig. 6 Climate-growth relationships. (a) Distribution of bootstrap correlations of the 10SP chronologies (spruce=green, pine=yellow) with seasonal maximum air temperatures (T_{max}) and precipitation (Prec) from 1951–2009 CE. Boxplots show the median (white bar), 25%- and 75%-quartiles (box), min and max values within 1.5x the interquartile range (whiskers), and outliers (points). Horizontal dashed

the mean. (c) Z-Scores of the 10SP regional pine chronology (brown dashed line) and high-pass filtered February-March T_{max} (black solid line). Yellow shadings represent $\pm 1\sigma$ of all pine chronologies. R values refer to 1952–1980 and 1981–2009 CE

site chronologies and seasonal $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{max}}$ and precipitation. Black curves are

temperature sensitivity of pine growth toward the end of the 20th century (Fig. 6b).

For pine, the highest correlations are found with February-March (FM) T_{max} , ranging from r=0.20 to r=0.63 for 1952–2009 CE. Twenty-three of the 24 pine chronologies display significant (p < 0.05) positive correlations with FM T_{max} . Southeastern pine sites in the Upper Rhine Plain and the Palatinate Forest show the highest correlations with $r \ge 0.50$. Correlations between spruce TRW and FM T_{max} ($r_{avg} = 0.20$) are substantially lower compared to pine ($r_{avg} =$ 0.47) and are only significant (p < 0.05) at sites below 550 m asl (eight of the nine sites). However, correlations between TRW and FM T_{max} have increased noticeably for both species in recent decades, particularly for pine (Fig. 6c).

June-July (JJ) T_{max} responses are less coherent across the network. Site correlations range from r=0.10 (p>0.05) to r=-0.52 (p<0.001) for spruce and from r=0.12 (p>0.05) to r=-0.58 (p<0.001) for pine. Strongest negative correlations ($r \le -0.40$) with JJ T_{max} are found in pines below

140 m asl in the Upper Rhine Plain (49.5–50°N/ 8–9.5°E) and in spruce from the Palatinate Forest. Running correlations reveal that both species' summer T_{max} response has declined since the late 1980s. Positive correlations between TRW and JJ precipitation mirror the strong negative correlation between summer T_{max} and precipitation ($r_{JJ} = -0.62$, p < 0.001) but also point to the importance of water supply during summer resulting from mutual interactions between precipitation and evapotranspiration. The regional TRW chronologies correlate at $r_{spruce} = 0.27$ (p < 0.05) and $r_{pine} =$ 0.41 (p < 0.01) with JJ precipitation from 1952 to 2009 CE, suggesting that current-year summer precipitation is more important for pine than for spruce.

Correlations of the 46 TRW chronologies with monthly soil moisture indices show that spruce and pine growth are strongly affected by summer drought (Fig. 7a). Correlations with monthly SMI values are generally higher than with monthly T_{max} and precipitation totals (Fig. S5), indicating greater importance of soil water availability compared to

Fig. 7 Soil moisture sensitivity. (a) Distribution of bootstrap correlations of 10SP chronologies (spruce=green, pine=yellow) with monthly and seasonal soil moisture indices from 1951–2009 CE. Boxplots show the median (white bar), 25%- and 75%-quartiles (box), min and max values within 1.5x the interquartile range (whiskers), and outliers (points). Horizontal dashed lines mark p < 0.05. (b) Mean 21-year running correlations of the species with current-year July-August (JA)

individual climate factors. The highest correlations between TRW and SMI values are obtained for July-August (JA). Thirteen spruce (59%) and 18 pine stands (75%) are significantly (p < 0.05) positively correlated with the JA SMI, and the regional TRW chronologies correlate at $r_{spruce} = 0.38$ (p < 0.01) and $r_{pine} = 0.49$ (p < 0.001) from 1952 to 2009 CE. Running correlations between TRW and the JA SMI remain fairly stable until 1985 CE, but weaken considerably thereafter (Fig. 7b).

Correlations between TRW and previous-year SMI values are generally weak. However, nine spruce (41%) and five pine (21%) stands show significant (p < 0.05) positive correlations with the August-December SMI of the previous year (pA-D). While running correlations between pine TRW and the pA-D SMI decrease slightly from 1952 to 2009 CE, increasing correlations between spruce TRW and the pA-D SMI indicate an enhanced growth response to previous-year soil moisture conditions, especially above 550 m asl (Fig. S6). Linear regression models reveal a significant (p < 0.01)

and previous-year August-December (pA-D) soil moisture indices. Soil moisture sensitivity of (c) spruce and (d) pine as a function of elevation. Each dot represents one site. Light colors indicate correlations (1952–2009 CE) with the pA-D SMI, dark colors indicate correlations with the JA SMI. Dashed lines show linear trends. Colored areas are the 95% confidence intervals of the regression slopes. Asterisks behind R^2 indicate significance at p < 0.01

dependence of SMI correlations on elevation (Fig. 7c). With increasing altitude, spruce TRW shows a decreasing response to the JA SMI and an increasing response to the pA-D SMI. No significant relationship was found for pine (Fig. 7d).

Discussion

Conifer growth characteristics

Including more than 1900 spruce and pine trees, our network is the largest TRW dataset in southwestern Germany. The well-replicated data fill a spatial gap between networks in northeastern France (Lebourgeois et al. 2010), southern Germany (Boden et al. 2014; Zang et al. 2014; Debel et al. 2021), and the low mountain ranges in west-central Germany (Friedrichs et al. 2009; Esper et al. 2012), and provide new insights into the regional growth performance of spruce and pine over several decades.

The AGRs of Norway spruce $(2.3\pm0.6 \text{ mm a}^{-1})$ and Scots pine $(1.6\pm0.5 \text{ mm a}^{-1})$ are similar to nearby sites in western Germany and northeastern France (Friedrichs et al. 2009; Lebourgeois et al. 2010). Average BAIs are similar between the conifers during the first 20 years of cambial age (~2.9 cm² a⁻¹), but increasingly diverge thereafter due to the strong growth acceleration in spruce. The observed growth trends and inter-species differences align well with data across Central European temperate forests (Bouriaud and Popa 2009; Seidling et al. 2012; Treml et al. 2021; Pretzsch et al. 2023).

In addition to species effects, the observed growth differences between spruce and pine may also be influenced by factors such as elevation, climate, and site conditions. Since the pine stands are, on average, ~30 years older than the spruces, age-related growth disparities are likely. particularly when considering the different environmental conditions each species experienced during their early growth stages. These growth differences are likely further amplified by elevational site variations and associated soil properties. While spruce predominates above 400 m asl on soils with higher water retention capacities, pines are primarily planted below 550 m asl on sandy soils (Richter et al. 2007), exposing them to greater drought stress. This is compounded by lower rainfall sums and higher air temperatures in the lowlands (Fig. S7). Different soil properties may also explain intra-species growth differences, as suggested by the reduced or absent precipitation response in spruce growing on well-developed stagnosols (Klippel et al. 2017). However, the effects of soil properties on conifer growth within our network remain unclear due to the lack of highresolution soil measurements at the individual sites.

The assessment of mean BAI data from 1952 to 2009 CE shows that soil water supply during summer is of decisive importance for spruce. Spruce BAI increases with increasing growing-season moisture supply, with the highest growth rates (BAI_{avg} > 20 cm² a⁻¹) being recorded in young (MLS < 90 years) and moist (SMI_{A-O} > 0.52) stands. The lower BAI of spruce at drier sites and lack of comparable dependencies in pine likely reflect the higher drought vulnerability of spruce in the Central European lowlands and low mountain ranges (Zang et al. 2014; Treml et al. 2021).

Despite the observed age-trends in BAI, differences in stand age do not explain intra-species BAI differences. However, the significant negative relationship (r = -0.63, p < 0.01) between spruce AGR and MSL shows that older stands are characterized by lower initial growth rates than the younger stands. Although only living trees were included in this study and thus maximum tree ages cannot be determined, our results suggest that slow juvenile growth

prolongs the life expectancy of spruce (Bigler and Veblen 2009; Rötheli et al. 2012; Castagneri et al. 2013). There are many explanations for such a putative trade-off between longevity and growth rate including the greater resilience of slow-growing trees to external disturbances (Schulman 1954), slower regulation of growth-related processes in taller trees (McDowell et al. 2008), as well as differences in root architecture (Hamberg et al. 2018) and hydraulic resilience (Ryan and Yoder 1997). No significant relationship was found between stand-level AGR and MSL in pine. However, a weak but significant correlation (r = -0.24, p < 0.001) was observed when accounting for the individual growth rates of the 986 pines (Fig. S8), supporting similar findings from other European sites (Bigler 2016; Büntgen et al. 2019).

Network covariance

Cross-correlation, Principal Component Gradient and Hierarchical Cluster analyses (Fig. S2) reveal that network covariance is most distinct in the high-frequency domain and likely driven by several environmental (climatic) factors (Debel et al. 2021; Friedrichs et al. 2009; Lebourgeois 2007; Mäkinen et al. 2002; Vitali et al. 2017). Compared to treeline sites, where inter-annual TRW variability is synchronized and increased by a single growth-limiting climatic factor, the more favorable conditions in temperate forests promote the formation of more invariable (complacent) tree rings. High-pass filters, such as the 10-year splines, emphasize inter-annual variance by eliminating low-frequency information (Esper and Gärtner 2001) and thereby synchronize TRW variance within and between sites. Higher inter-site correlations in the 10SP data compared to the EXP data support this conclusion and indicate stronger climatic forcing in the high-frequency domain.

The lower covariance in the EXP data may also be related to the impact of silvicultural treatments such as wood harvesting and thinning, which can induce long-term growth releases by reducing competition for light and nutrients (Kramer 2019). Negative exponential detrending does not mitigate such rapid non-climatic trends and thereby distorts the synchronizing influence of climate variability across the network. Although this contradicts findings of Esper et al. (2012), who report only minor effects of logging on spruce precipitation sensitivity in western Germany, several studies support that silvicultural practices and local disturbances may alter long-term growth trends (Mörling 2002; Mehtätalo et al. 2014; Lehtonen et al. 2023). Insect outbreaks can affect high-frequency covariance strongly, as shown by the strong TRW deviations of two pine stands in the late 1980s caused by pine sawfly (Diprion pini L.) outbreaks in 1977 CE near Speyer and Aschaffenburg (Bogenschütz 1981).

The singularity of such striking events, however, does not substantially affect the covariance of the 10SP data across southwest Germany.

Growth responses to summer drought

The assessment of species-specific climate-growth relationships shows that summer drought is the dominant climatic driver of southwest German spruce and pine growth. Although correlations between TRW and climate variables are generally weak, the uniform network growth responses to current-year summer T_{max} and precipitation underline the importance of adequate water supply during the time of xylem formation. The positive association of TRW with summer precipitation and the negative association with T_{max} appear physiologically meaningful, as low summer rainfall and high temperatures intensify evapotranspiration and soil moisture deficit, and thereby reduce turgor pressure in the xylem and associated cell enlargement processes (Peters et al. 2021). Stronger correlations with summer precipitation than with T_{max} point to a higher relevance of summer rain for both conifers, but the significant inverse relationship between summer temperature and precipitation (r_{JJA} = -0.65) makes a clear distinction between the two factors difficult. The precipitation sensitivity is in line with findings by Friedrichs et al. (2009) and Esper et al. (2012), who report similar growth responses to May-July precipitation at several spruce and pine stands in the Rhenish Massif. Comparable relationships between TRW and May-July precipitation were found in lowland Poland (Koprowski and Zielski 2006) and the lower French Alps (Desplanque et al. 1998). TRW correlations with the SMI peak slightly later in summer (July-August), likely due to delayed responses of the hydro-pedological system (Entekhabi et al. 1996).

Besides the drought response during xylem formation, spruce reveals a definite sensitivity to previous-year latesummer (July-September) conditions. This lag-response is consistent with other tree-ring studies (Rybníček et al. 2010; Zang et al. 2011; Seidling et al. 2012; van der Maaten-Theunissen et al. 2013) and supports the significance of carry-over effects on earlywood formation (Lebourgeois et al. 2010). The negative relationship between spruce TRW and prior-summer T_{max} can likely be attributed to droughtinduced reductions in carbohydrate accumulation, impairment of fine root formation, and increased crown dieback, limiting radial growth in the following year (St. George 2014). The latter explanation is supported by results of Solberg (2004) and Seidling et al. (2012), who found positive correlations between summer temperature and the crown transparency of spruce. Summer droughts favor needle defoliation and discoloration in fall, thereby reducing photosynthesis and growth in subsequent growing seasons (Solberg 2004). High summer temperatures may also promote flowering and seed production in consecutive years and thereby inhibit growth by limiting the amount of available resources (Selås et al. 2002).

Even though TRW variations among the spruce stands are highly synchronous ($r_{avg} = 0.66$ for 10SP data), the SMI signals are significantly altered by elevation. Current-summer SMI correlations decrease by ~ 0.1 per 100 m, while previous-year SMI correlations increase towards higher elevations. Twelve of the thirteen spruce stands that are significantly correlated with the JA SMI are located below 550 m asl, and six of the eight stands that are significantly correlated with the pA-D SMI are at or above 550 m asl. Linear regression models show that elevation explains 43% of the drought signal differences. Van der Maaten-Theunissen et al. (2013) found a similar gradient ($R^2=0.33$) along elevational transects in the Black Forest. The variations in drought sensitivity are consistent with the fact that spruce at drier sites is most susceptible to current growing-season conditions, while wetter stands at intermediate elevations frequently lack such signals (Wilson and Hopfmueller 2001; Leal et al. 2007; Hartl-Meier et al. 2014; Sidor et al. 2015; Jevšenak et al. 2021). Park and Spiecker (2005) show that spruce at drier sites tend to form more latewood cells with thicker walls, while spruce at wetter sites generally form larger earlywood cells, which might explain the stronger response to previous-year conditions at mid-elevations, as earlywood formation is closely related to the mobilization of stored photoassimilates (Kagawa et al. 2006).

Running correlations between TRW and SMI values indicate that previous August-December moisture conditions became increasingly important for spruce. Although these correlations are calculated over a short time window, the fairly coherent patterns across the network point to an increasing growth response of spruce to previous-year climatic conditions, particularly above 550 m asl. These results support the findings of previous studies from eastern Europe (Rybníček et al. 2010; Čermák et al. 2019). The increasing responses to water supply in previous late-summer and autumn may be related to the strong warming and decreasing rainfall in July and August, limiting accessible soil moisture in recent decades. Droughts can reduce fine root formation and recovery (Nikolova et al. 2009), which may adversely affect growth in the following growing season, especially as late-summer is a distinct growth period for roots in southwestern Germany (Xu et al. 1997).

Growth responses to late-winter temperatures

FM temperature signals in pine TRW have previously been reported from several temperate forest sites (Friedrichs et al. 2009; Lebourgeois et al. 2010; Koprowski et al. 2012;

Seidling et al. 2012; Bauwe et al. 2013; Debel et al. 2021; Stolz et al. 2021; Diers et al. 2023, 2024), indicating a largescale growth-promoting influence of air temperatures during the dormancy period across Central Europe. However, despite the extensive empirical evidence, physiological mechanisms explaining the growth-stimulating influence of winter temperatures are still poorly understood. While an onset of growth in February-March is unlikely, elevated air temperatures during late winter may favor physiological processes supporting cambial reactivation during quiescent dormancy (Begum et al. 2013). At the lowest elevations (~100 m asl), 38% of the February-March days exceeded a mean temperature of 6 °C and 25% exceeded 8 °C (Fig. S9), indicating that thermal thresholds for xylogenetic activity are only sporadically reached, but temperatures could be sufficient to promote photosynthesis (Tanja et al. 2003; Rossi et al. 2008). Such effects appear plausible as evergreen conifers can remain photosynthetically active in winter and modulate their capacities within a few days (Nippert et al. 2004; Mäkelä et al. 2004). A temperature-driven stimulation of photosynthesis in late winter could also explain the lack of significant correlations between spruce TRW and FM T_{max} above 550 m asl.

In the temperate regions of France, early- and latewood width of fir, pine and spruce are positively related to February temperatures, suggesting that warm late winters not only promote growth in spring but also favor growth processes throughout the growing season, probably related to an enhanced photoassimilate accumulation prior to the onset of cambial activity (Lebourgeois et al. 2010). This inference is supported by findings of Giberti et al. (2022), who report positive relationships between January-March temperatures and carbon sequestration in Polish pines. Since warmer winters are accompanied by higher rainfall, as shown by the positive correlation between FM T_{max} and precipitation (r=0.43), the FM response may also reflect an increased water availability in late winter into spring. The lack of significant precipitation correlations, however, indicates that FM temperature is the key climatic driver. Besides the effects on photosynthetic biochemistry, high late-winter temperatures might also promote soil warming and mycorrhizal growth (Domisch et al. 2002) and reduce the risk of winter desiccation (Sevanto et al. 2006; Ensminger et al. 2008).

Increasing running correlations between TRW and FM T_{max} indicate that late-winter temperatures have become noticeably more important for southwest German spruce and pine growth in recent decades. Our results support previous studies from the south Baltic region (Harvey et al. 2020; Janecka et al. 2020; Diers et al. 2023, 2024) and add evidence that late-winter temperatures are gaining importance for Central European forest growth. Decreasing

correlations with JJ T_{max} and increasing correlations with FM T_{max} since the 1980s point to putative trade-offs between enhanced winter and reduced summer photosynthesis (Stolz et al. 2021), which may result from a strong inter-seasonal warming (Fig. S10a). Even if FM T_{max} correlations may be strengthened by the higher variance of cold-season temperatures (Fig. S10b), the increasing relevance of late-winter temperatures for conifer growth is in line with long-term phenological observations indicating earlier plant development and fruiting (Chmielewski et al. 2004; Büntgen et al. 2022).

Conclusion

We introduce a TRW network to assess the growth performance of Norway spruce and Scots pine along elevational gradients from 100 to 820 m asl in southwest Germany and identify climatic drivers of regional forest growth. The data reveals that spruce has grown twice that of pine in recent decades, partly due to differences in tree age and site conditions. Decadal BAI fluctuations of both conifers are closely related to long-term soil moisture variability, but only spruce indicates a significant dependence on growing-season water supply, which likely reflects the higher drought vulnerability of this species at locations beyond its natural distribution range. Correlations using high-pass filtered TRW and climate data support that summer drought is the dominant climatic driver of southwest German spruce growth, but the seasonality of the signal changes with elevation. Sites below 550 m asl show a stronger growth response to current-summer soil moisture conditions, whereas spruce sites above 550 m asl are particularly sensitive to previous-year moisture conditions. Possible reasons for the changing seasonality along the elevational gradient are discussed, but remain conjectural due to the sole focus on radial stem growth, underlining the need to address the complex interactions between above- and below-ground biomass productivity in future research. In addition, increasing growth responses to late-winter temperatures hint at potential changes in the photosynthetic seasonality of Scots pine, likely related to an abrupt temperature increase in the late 1980s and possibly reflecting a trade-off between enhanced winter and reduced summer photosynthesis. Our results provide new insights into the growth performance of southwest German spruce and pines under recent climate change, which call for a change in planting strategies towards more droughtresistant tree species and may help refine future projections for temperate forests.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-0 25-01765-6.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Birgit Wöste, Claudia Hartl, Eileen Kuhl, and Markus Kochbeck for field and laboratory support, the Forest Services of Rhineland-Palatinate, Hesse, and Baden-Wuerttemberg for their collaboration, and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback.

Author contributions P.R. and J.E. conceived and planned the study. P.R., F.R., O.K., L.K., and J.E. conducted fieldwork. P.R. performed the final analyses and drafted the manuscript with input from E.M., F.R., M.C.A.T., U.B, and J.E. All authors provided discussion and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding Supported by the German Research Foundation [grant number ES 161/12–1] and the ERC Advanced Grant Monostar [grant number AdG 882727].

Data availability The data is made publicly accessible through the International Tree-Ring Data Bank (ITRDB; https://www.ncdc.noaa.g ov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data/datasets/tree-ring).

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Aalbers EE, Lenderink G, van Meijgaard E, van den Hurk BJJM (2018) Local-scale changes in mean and heavy precipitation in Western Europe, climate change or internal. Variability? Clim Dyn 50:4745–4766. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3901-9
- Altman J, Fibich P, Santruckova H, Dolezal J, Stepanek P, Kopacek J, Hunova I, Oulehle F, Tumajer J, Cienciala E (2017) Environmental factors exert strong control over the climate-growth relationships of *Picea abies* in Central Europe. Sci Total Environ 609:506–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.134
- Bauwe A, Koch M, Kallweit R, Konopatzky A, Strohbach B, Lennartz B (2013) Tree-ring growth response of scots pine (*Pinus sylves-tris* L.) to climate and soil water availability in the lowlands of north-eastern Germany. Balt for 19:212–225
- Begum S, Nakaba S, Yamagishi Y, Oribe Y, Funada R (2013) Regulation of cambial activity in relation to environmental conditions: understanding the role of temperature in wood formation of trees. Physiol Plant 147:46–54. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.20 12.01663.x
- Bigler C (2016) Trade-offs between growth rate, tree size and lifespan of mountain pine (*Pinus montana*) in the Swiss National Park. PLoS ONE 11:e0150402. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0 150402
- Bigler C, Veblen TT (2009) Increased early growth rates decrease longevities of conifers in subalpine forests. Oikos 118:1130–1138. h ttps://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17592.x
- Boden S, Kahle H-P, von Wilpert K, Spiecker H (2014) Resilience of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst) growth to changing climatic conditions in Southwest Germany. Ecol Manag 315:12–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.12.015
- Bogenschütz H (1981) Deutsche Forstschutz-Literatur 1977/78: Insekten. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenkrankheiten Und Pflanzenschutz 88:293–316. https://www.jstor.org/stable/43214856

- Bonan GB (2008) Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. Science 320:1444–1449. https ://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155121
- Bose AK, Gessler A, Bolte A et al (2020) Growth and resilience responses of scots pine to extreme droughts across Europe depend on predrought growth conditions. Glob Change Biol 26:4521–4537. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15153
- Bouriaud O, Popa I (2009) Comparative dendroclimatic study of scots pine, Norway spruce, and silver fir in the Vrancea Range, Eastern Carpathian Mountains. Trees 23:95–106. https://doi.org/10.1007 /s00468-008-0258-z
- Bundesministerium für Ernährung und Landwirtschaft (BMEL) (2023) Ergebnisse der Waldzustandserhebung 2022. Bonn
- Bunn AG (2008) A dendrochronology program library in R (dplR). Dendrochronologia 26:115–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro .2008.01.002
- Büntgen U, Krusic PJ, Piermattei A, Coomes DA, Esper J, Myglan VS, Kirdyanov AV, Camarero JJ, Crivellaro A, Körner C (2019) Limited capacity of tree growth to mitigate the global greenhouse effect under predicted warming. Nat Commun 10:2171. https://do i.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10174-4
- Büntgen U, Piermattei A, Krusic PJ, Esper J, Sparks T, Crivellaro A (2022) Plants in the UK flower a month earlier under recent warming. Proc R Soc B 289:20212456. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2021.2456
- Castagneri D, Storaunet KO, Rolstad J (2013) Age and growth patterns of old Norway spruce trees in Trillemarka forest, Norway. Scand J Res 28:232–240. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.201 2.724082
- Caudullo G, Tinner W, de Rigo D (2016) *Picea abies* in Europe: distribution, habitat, usage and threats. In: San-Miguel-Ayanz J, de Rigo D, Caudullo G, Houston Durrant T, Mauri A (eds) European Atlas of Forest Tree species. Publ Off EU, Luxembourg, pp 114–116
- Čermák P, Kolář T, Žid T, Trnka M, Rybníček M (2019) Norway spruce response to drought forcing in area affected by forest decline. Syst 28:e016
- Chmielewski F-M, Müller A, Bruns E (2004) Climate changes and trends in phenology of fruit trees and field crops in Germany, 1961–2000. Agric Meteorol 121:69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(03)00161-8
- Cook ER, Kairiukstis LA (1990) Methods of dendrochronology: applications in the environmental sciences. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, Boston, and London
- Cook ER, Peters K (1997) Calculating unbiased tree-ring indices for the study of climatic and environmental change. Holocene 7:361– 370. https://doi.org/10.1177/095968369700700314
- Cook ER, Krusic PJ, Peters K, Holmes RL (2017) Program ARSTAN (ARS41d), autoregressive tree–ring standardization program. Tree-Ring Laboratory of Lamont – Doherty Earth Observatory, Palisades, NY
- Debel A, Meier WJH, Bräuning A (2021) Climate signals for growth variations of F. Sylvatica, P. abies, and P. Sylvestris in Southeast Germany over the past 50 years. Forests 12:1433. https://doi.org/10.3390/f12111433
- Desplanque C, Rolland C, Michalet R (1998) Dendroécologie comparée Du Sapin Blanc (*Abies alba*) et de l'épicéa commun (*Picea abies*) dans une vallée alpine de France. Can J Res 28:737–748. h ttps://doi.org/10.1139/x98-025
- Diers M, Weigel R, Leuschner C (2023) Both climate sensitivity and growth trend of European beech decrease in the North German Lowlands, while scots pine still thrives, despite growing sensitivity. Trees 37:523–543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-022-0236 9-y
- Diers M, Leuschner C, Dulamsuren C, Schulz TC, Weigel R (2024) Increasing winter temperatures stimulate scots pine growth in the

North German Lowlands despite stationary sensitivity to summer drought. Ecosystems 27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-023-00 897-3

- Dobbertin M (2005) Tree growth as indicator of tree vitality and of tree reaction to environmental stress: a review. Eur J Res 124:319– 333. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-005-0085-3
- Domisch T, Finér L, Lehto T, Smolander A (2002) Effect of soil temperature on nutrient allocation and mycorrhizas in scots pine seedlings. Plant Soil 239:173–185. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:10 15037127126
- Eilmann B, Zweifel R, Buchmann N, Fonti P, Rigling A (2009) Drought-induced adaptation of the xylem in scots pine and pubescent oak. Tree Physiol 29:1011–1020. https://doi.org/10.1093/tre ephys/tpp035
- Ensminger I, Schmidt L, Lloyd J (2008) Soil temperature and intermittent frost modulate the rate of recovery of photosynthesis in scots pine under simulated spring conditions. New Phytol 177:428– 442. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02273.x
- Entekhabi D, Rodriguez-Iturbe I, Castelli F (1996) Mutual interaction of soil moisture state and atmospheric processes. J Hydrol 184:3– 17. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(95)02965-6
- Esper J, Gärtner H (2001) Interpretation of tree-ring chronologies. Erdkunde 55:277–288
- Esper J, Cook ER, Krusic PJ, Peters K, Schweingruber FH (2003) Tests of the RCS method for preserving low-frequency variability in long tree-ring chronologies. Tree-Ring Res 59:81–98
- Esper J, Benz M, Pederson N (2012) Influence of wood harvest on tree-ring time-series of *Picea abies* in a temperate forest. Ecol Manag 284:86–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.047
- Frank DC, Esper J, Cook ER (2007) Adjustment for proxy number and coherence in a large-scale temperature reconstruction. Geophys Res Lett 34:L16709. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030571
- Friedrichs D, Trouet V, Büntgen U, Frank DC, Esper J, Neuwirth B, Löffler J (2009) Species-specific climate sensitivity of tree growth in Central-West Germany. Trees 23:729–739. https://doi.org/10.1 007/s00468-009-0315-2
- Giberti GS, Wellstein C, Giovannelli A, Bielak K, Uhl E, Aguirre-Ráquira W, Giammarchi F, Tonon G (2022) Annual carbon sequestration patterns in trees: a case study from scots pine monospecific stands and mixed stands with sessile oak in Central Poland. Forests 13:582. https://doi.org/10.3390/f13040582
- Hamberg L, Velmala SM, Sievänen R, Kalliokoski T, Pennanen T (2018) Early root growth and architecture of fast- and slowgrowing Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) families differ – potential for functional adaptation. Tree Physiol 38:853–864. https://doi.or g/10.1093/treephys/tpx159
- Harris NL, Gibbs DA, Baccini A et al (2021) Global maps of twentyfirst century forest carbon fluxes. Nat Clim Change 11:234–240. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00976-6
- Hartl-Meier C, Zang C, Dittmar C, Esper J, Göttlein A, Rothe A (2014) Vulnerability of Norway spruce to climate change in mountain forests of the European Alps. Clim Res 60:119–132. https://doi.o rg/10.3354/cr01226
- Harvey JE, Smiljanić M, Scharnweber T et al (2020) Tree growth influenced by warming winter climate and summer moisture availability in northern temperate forests. Glob Change Biol 26:2505–2518. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14966
- Holmes RL (1983) Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. Tree-Ring Bull 43:69–78
- Irvine J, Perks M, Magnani F, Grace J (1998) The response of *Pinus sylvestris* to drought: stomatal control of transpiration and hydraulic conductance. Tree Physiol 18:393–402. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/treephys/18.6.393
- Janecka K, Harvey JE, Trouillier M, Kaczka RJ, Metslaid S, Metslaid M, Buras A, Wilmking M (2020) Higher winter-spring temperature and winter-spring/summer moisture availability increase

scots Pine growth on coastal dune microsites around the South Baltic Sea. Front Glob Change 3:578912. https://doi.org/10.338 9/ffgc.2020.578912

- Jevšenak J, Tychkov I, Gričar J, Levanič T, Tumajer J, Prislan P, Arnič D, Popkova M, Shishov VV (2021) Growth-limiting factors and climate response variability in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.) along an elevation and precipitation gradients in Slovenia. Int J Biometeorol 65:311–324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-02 033-5
- Johann E, Agnoletti M, Axelsson AL, Bürgi M, Östlund L, Rochel X, Schmidt UE, Schuler A, Skovsgaard JP, Winiwarter V (2004) History of secondary Norway spruce forests in Europe. In: Spiecker H, Hansen J, Klimo E, Skovsgaard JP, Sterba H, von Teuffel K (eds) Norway spruce conversion – options and consequences. European Forest Institute Research Report 18, Brill, Leiden, pp 25–62
- Kagawa A, Sugimoto A, Maximov TC (2006) ¹³CO₂ pulse-labelling of photoassimilates reveals carbon allocation within and between tree rings. Plant Cell Environ 29:1571–1584. https://doi.org/10.1 111/j.1365-3040.2006.01533.x
- Kaspar F, Müller-Westermeier G, Penda E, Mächel H, Zimmermann K, Kaiser-Weiss A, Deutschländer T (2013) Monitoring of climate change in Germany – data, products and services of Germany's National Climate Data Centre. Adv Sci Res 10:99–106. h ttps://doi.org/10.5194/asr-10-99-2013
- Kašpar J, Tumajer J, Altman J et al (2024) Major tree species of central European forests differ in their proportion of positive, negative, and nonstationary growth trends. Glob Change Biol 30:e17146. h ttps://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17146
- Klippel L, Hartl-Meier C, Lindén J, Kochbeck M, Emde K, Esper J (2017) Hourly resolved climate response of *Picea abies* beyond its natural distribution range. Balt for 23:556–563
- Kölling C, Knoke T, Schall P, Ammer C (2009) Überlegungen Zum Risiko Des Fichtenanbaus in Deutschland Vor dem Hintergrund Des Klimawandels. Forstarchiv 80:42–54. https://doi.org/10.237 603004112-80-42
- Koprowski M, Zielski A (2006) Dendrochronology of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) From two range centres in lowland Poland. Trees 20:383–390. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-006-0 051-9
- Koprowski M, Przybylak R, Zielski A, Pospieszyńska A (2012) Tree rings of scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) as a source of information about past climate in northern Poland. Int J Biometeorol 56:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-010-0390-5
- Korhonen K, Stahl G (2020) Criterion 1: maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest resources and their contribution to global carbon cycles. In: Forest Europe (ed) State of Europe's forests 2020. Ministerial conference on the protection of forests in Europe, Bratislava, pp 30–51
- Kramer H (2019) Waldwachstumslehre. Ökologische und anthropogene Einflüsse auf das Wachstum des Waldes, seine Massen- Und Wertleistung Und die Bestandessicherheit. Kessel, Remagen-Oberwinter
- Krejza J, Cienciala E, Světlík J, Bellan M, Noyer E, Horáček P, Štěpánek P, Marek MV (2021) Evidence of climate–induced stress of Norway spruce along elevation gradient preceding the current dieback in Central Europe. Trees 35:103–119. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00468-020-02022-6
- Leal S, Melvin TM, Grabner M, Wimmer R, Briffa KR (2007) Tree ring-growth variability in the Austrian alps: the influence of site, altitude, tree species and climate. Boreas 36:426–440
- Lebourgeois F (2007) Climatic signal in annual growth variation of silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) And spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) From the French permanent plot network (RENECOFOR). Ann Sci 64:333–343. https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2007010

- Lebourgeois F, Rathgeber CBK, Ulrich E (2010) Sensitivity of French temperate coniferous forests to climate variability and extreme events (*Abies alba, Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris*). J Veg Sci 21:364–376. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01148.x
- Lehtonen A, Leppä K, Rinne-Garmston KT, Sahlstedt E, Schiestl-Aalto P, Heikkinen J, Young GHF, Korkiakoski M, Peltoniemi M, Sarkkola S, Lohila A, Mäkipää R (2023) Fast recovery of suppressed Norway spruce trees after selection harvesting on a drained peatland forest site. Ecol Manag 530:120759. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120759
- Lévesque M, Rigling A, Bugmann H, Weber P, Brang P (2014) Growth response of five co-occurring conifers to drought across a wide climatic gradient in Central Europe. Agric Meteorol 197:1–12. ht tps://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.06.001
- Mäkelä A, Hari P, Berninger F, Hänninen H, Nikinmaa E (2004) Acclimation of photosynthetic capacity in scots pine to the annual cycle of temperature. Tree Physiol 24:369–376. https://doi.org/10 .1093/treephys/24.4.369
- Mäkinen H, Nöjd P, Kahle H-P, Neumann U, Tveite B, Mielikäinen K, Röhle H, Spiecker H (2002) Radial growth variation of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) Across latitudinal and altitudinal gradients in central and northern Europe. Ecol Manag 171:243– 259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00786-1
- Martínez del Castillo E, Zang C, Buras A et al (2022) Climate-changedriven growth decline of European beech forests. Commun Biol 5:163. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03107-3
- Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pirani A et al (2021) Climate change 2021. The physical science basis. Working group I contribution to the sixth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York
- McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, Kolb T, Plaut J, Sperry J, West A, Williams DG, Yepez EA (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? New Phytol 178:719–739. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.0 2436.x
- Mehtätalo L, Peltola H, Kilpeläinen A, Ikonen V-P (2014) The response of basal area growth of scots pine to thinning: a longitudinal analysis of tree-specific series using a nonlinear mixed-effects model. Sci 60:636–644. https://doi.org/10.5849/forsci.13-059
- Mörling T (2002) Evaluation of annual ring width and ring density development following fertilisation and thinning of scots pine. Ann Sci 59:29–40. https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2001003
- Nagavciuc V, Roibu C-C, Ionita M, Mursa A, Cotos M-G, Popa I (2019) Different climate response of three tree ring proxies of *Pinus sylvestris* from the Eastern carpathians. Romania Dendrochronologia 54:56–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2019.02. 007
- Nikolova PS, Raspe S, Andersen CP, Mainiero R, Blaschke H, Matyssek R, Häberle K-H (2009) Effects of the extreme drought in 2003 on soil respiration in a mixed forest. Eur J for Res 128:87–98. htt ps://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-008-0218-6
- Nippert JB, Duursma RA, Marshall JD (2004) Seasonal variation in photosynthetic capacity of montane conifers. Funct Ecol 18:876– 886. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2004.00909.x
- Park Y-I, Spiecker H (2005) Variations in the tree-ring structure of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) under contrasting climates. Dendrochronologia 23:93–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.200 5.09.002
- Peters RL, Steppe K, Cuny HE, De Pauw DJW, Frank DC, Schaub M, Rathgeber CBK, Cabon A, Fonti P (2021) Turgor – a limiting factor for radial growth in mature conifers along an elevational gradient. New Phytol 229:213–229. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph. 16872

- Pretzsch H, del Río M, Arcangeli C et al (2023) Forest growth in Europe shows diverging large regional trends. Sci Rep 13:15373. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-41077-6
- Richter A, Adler GH, Fahrak M, Eckelmann W (2007) Erläuterungen zur nutzungsdifferenzierten Bodenübersichtskarte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland BÜK 1000 N. Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Hannover
- Rinn F (2012) TSAP-Win: time series analysis and presentation for Dendrochronology and related application – Version 4.6x for Microsoft Windows. Heidelberg
- Rossi S, Deslauriers A, Griçar J, Seo J-W, Rathgeber CBK, Anfodillo T, Morin H, Levanic T, Oven P, Jalkanen R (2008) Critical temperatures for xylogenesis in conifers of cold climates. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 17:696–707. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2008. 00417.x
- Rötheli E, Heiri C, Bigler C (2012) Effects of growth rates, tree morphology and site conditions on longevity of Norway spruce in the northern Swiss Alps. Eur J Res 131:1117–1125. https://doi.org/10 .1007/s10342-011-0583-4
- Ryan MG, Yoder BJ (1997) Hydraulic limits to tree height and tree growth. Bioscience 47:235–242. https://doi.org/10.2307/131307 7
- Rybníček M, Čermák P, Žid T, Kolář T (2010) Radial growth and health condition of Norway Spruce (*Picea Abies* (L.) Karst.) Stands in relation to climate (silesian beskids, Czech Republic). Geochronometria 36:9–16. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10003-010-0017-1
- Schulman E (1954) Longevity under adversity in conifers. Science 119:396–399. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.119.3091.396
- Seidling W, Ziche D, Beck W (2012) Climate responses and interrelations of stem increment and crown transparency in Norway spruce, scots pine, and common beech. Ecol Manag 284:196– 204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.015
- Selås V, Piovesan G, Adams JM, Bernabei M (2002) Climatic factors controlling reproduction and growth of Norway spruce in southern Norway. Can J Res 32:217–225. https://doi.org/10.1139/X0 1-192
- Senf C, Buras A, Zang C, Rammig A, Seidl R (2020) Excess forest mortality is consistently linked to drought across Europe. Nat Commun 11:6200. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19924-1
- Sevanto S, Tanja S, Pumpanen J, Grönholm T, Kolari P, Nikinmaa E, Hari P, Vesala T (2006) Wintertime photosynthesis and water uptake in a boreal forest. Tree Physiol 26:749–757. https://doi.or g/10.1093/treephys/26.6.749
- Sidor CG, Popa I, Vlad R, Cherubini P (2015) Different tree-ring responses of Norway spruce to air temperature across an altitudinal gradient in the Eastern Carpathians (Romania). Trees 29:985– 997. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1178-3
- Solberg S (2004) Summer drought: a driver for crown condition and mortality of Norway spruce in Norway. Pathol 34:93–104. https:// /doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.2004.00351.x
- Spiecker H (2003) Silvicultural management in maintaining biodiversity and resistance of forests in Europe – temperate zone. J Environ Manag 67:55–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797(0 2)00188-3
- St. George S (2014) An overview of tree-ring width records across the Northern Hemisphere. Quat Sci Rev 95:132–150. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.04.029
- Stokes MA, Smiley TL (1996) An introduction to Tree-Ring dating. University of Arizona, Tucson
- Stolz J, van der Maaten E, Kalanke H, Martin J, Wilmking M, van der Maaten-Theunissen M (2021) Increasing climate sensitivity of beech and pine is not mediated by adaptation and soil characteristics along a precipitation gradient in northeastern Germany. Dendrochronologia 67:125834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro. 2021.125834

- Tanja S, Berninger F, Vesala T et al (2003) Air temperature triggers the recovery of evergreen boreal forest photosynthesis in spring. Glob Change Biol 9:1410–1426. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2 486.2003.00597.x
- Treml V, Mašek J, Tumajer J, Rydval M, Čada V, Ledvinka O, Svoboda M (2021) Trends in climatically driven extreme growth reductions of *Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris* in Central Europe. Glob Change Biol 28:557–570. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15922
- van der Maaten-Theunissen M, Kahle H-P, van der Maaten E (2013) Drought sensitivity of Norway spruce is higher than that of silver fir along an altitudinal gradient in southwestern Germany. Ann Sci 70:185–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-012-0241-0
- Vitali V, Büntgen U, Bauhus J (2017) Silver fir and Douglas fir are more tolerant to extreme droughts than Norway spruce in southwestern Germany. Glob Change Biol 23:5108–5119. https://doi.o rg/10.1111/gcb.13774
- Weiner J, Thomas SC (2001) The nature of tree growth and the agerelated decline in forest productivity. Oikos 94:374–376
- Wilson RJS, Hopfmueller M (2001) Dendrochronological investigations of Norway spruce along an elevational transect in the bavarian forest. Ger Dendrochronologia 19:67–79
- Xu Y-J, Röhrig E, Fölster H (1997) Reaction of root systems of grand fir (*Abies grandis* Lindl.) And Norway spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) To seasonal waterlogging. Ecol Manag 93:9–19. https://doi.org/1 0.1016/S0378-1127(96)03951-5
- Xu K, Wang X, Liang P, An H, Sun H, Han W, Li Q (2017) Tree-ring widths are good proxies of annual variation in forest productivity in temperate forests. Sci Rep 7:1945. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41 598-017-02022-6

- Zang C, Biondi F (2015) Treeclim: an R package for the numerical calibration of proxy-climate relationships. Ecography 38:431–436. h ttps://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01335
- Zang C, Rothe A, Weis W, Pretzsch H (2011) Zur Baumarteneignung Bei Klimawandel: Ableitung Der Trockenstress-Anfälligkeit Wichtiger Waldbaumarten aus Jahrringbreiten. Allg Forst Jagdztg 182:98–112
- Zang C, Hartl-Meier C, Dittmar C, Rothe A, Menzel A (2014) Patterns of drought tolerance in major European temperate forest trees: climatic drivers and levels of variability. Glob Change Biol 20:3767–3779. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12637
- Zech W, Schad P, Hintermaier-Erhard G (2014) Böden Der Welt: Ein Bildatlas. Springer Spektrum, Berlin and Heidelberg
- Zink M, Samaniego L, Kumar R, Thober S, Mai J, Schäfer D, Marx A (2016) The German Drought Monitor. Environ Res Lett 11:074002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/7/074002

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.